	1		
1 2	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CSBN 44332) United States Attorney		
3	BRIAN STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division		
4 5 6	DENISE MARIE BARTON (MABN 634052) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102		
7 8	Telephone: (415) 436-7359 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 denise.barton@usdoj.gov		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13			
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CR No. 09-0033 WHA	
15	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME APRIL 1, 2009	
16	V.	THROUGH APRIL 14, 2009	
17	JEFFREY DAVID SCHINKEL,		
18	Defendant.))	
19		,	
20	This matter was set for Motions Setting / Status on April 1, 2009. On March 27, 2009,		
21	the parties filed a Stipulation and Proposed Order with the Court seeking a continuance and		
22	stipulated that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from April 1,		
23	2009 through the date of the continuance. (ECF Docket No. 18). In that Stipulation, the parties		
24	represented that granting the continuance was necessary for effective preparation of counsel, due		
25	to the nature and volume of the computer-based discovery to be analyzed and reviewed, and to		
26	afford defense counsel time to review the computer-based discovery and a report to be produced		
27	regarding the analysis of the computer media. The parties further stipulated that an exclusion of		
28	UNITED STATES V. SCHINKEL, CR No. 09-0033 WHA, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING	G TIME	

1	time was appropriate for the same reasons, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See	
2	18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). On March 31, 2009, this Court granted a continuance from April	
3	1, 2009 to April 14, 2009. The parties now seek to memorialize a time-exclusion from April 1,	
4	2009 through April 14, 2009 and stipulate that this exclusion of time is appropriate for the	
5	reasons set forth in the March 27, 2009 Stipulation and Proposed Order (Docket No. 18) and	
6	those set forth herein.	
7	SO STIPULATED:	
8	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney	
9	·	
10	DATED: April 1, 2009 /s/ DENISE MARIE BARTON	
11	Assistant United States Attorney	
12		
13	DATED: April 1, 2009 /s/	
14	EDWIN PRATHER	
15	CRAIG BESSENGER Attorney for JEFFREY DAVID SCHINKEL	
16		
17	For the reasons stated above and in the March 27, 2009 Stipulation and Proposed Order,	
18	the Court finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of	
19	the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and that time should be excluded from the Speedy	
20	Trial Act calculations from April 1, 2009 through April 14, 2009 for effective preparation of	
21	counsel. See 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would	
22	deny counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise	
23	of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).	
24	SO ORDERED.	
25		
26	DATED: April 3, 2009 Judge William Alsup	
27	HONORALLE WILLIAM HALLSUP United States District Countridage	
28	DISTRICTOR	
	UNITED STATES V. SCHINKEL,	

CR No. 09-0033 WHA, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME 2